

## ReEnvisioning BHS—Estimated Tax Implications

**In April 2018, the Burlington School Board accepted the recommendation of the BHS ReEnvisioning Committee to partially demolish portions of campus, while expanding and renovating the main buildings.**

### WHY DO WE NEED A NEW HIGH SCHOOL?

Current facilities were built nearly 60 years ago and present several challenges:

- \$30+ million already needed for deferred maintenance
- Aging and outdated learning spaces
- Does not meet current accessibility standards

### PROPOSED ENHANCEMENTS

See reverse for complete list of enhancements or visit <http://www.bsdt.org/bhs-renovations/>

- Fully accessible
- Enhanced safety and security
- 21st Century Learning Facilities

### BY THE NUMBERS

*All figures are rounded and based on a 30-year repayment term.*

Year	Option 1 \$60 Million Bond Debt Service	Option 1 \$60 Million Bond Tax Impact on \$250k Home	Option 2 \$65 Million Bond Debt Service	Option 2 \$65 Million Bond Tax Impact on \$250k Home	Option 3 \$68.5 Million Bond Debt Service	Option 3 \$68.5 Million Bond Tax Impact on \$250k Home
FY20	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FY21	\$ 231,320	\$ 17	\$ 231,320	\$ 17	\$ 231,320	\$ 17
FY22	\$ 2,544,524	\$ 190	\$ 2,833,675	\$ 212	\$ 3,036,080	\$ 227
FY23-50	\$ 3,469,806	\$ 259	\$ 3,758,956	\$ 281	\$ 3,961,362	\$ 296
FY51	\$ 3,238,486	\$ 242	\$ 3,527,636	\$ 263	\$ 3,730,041	\$ 278
FY52	\$ 925,282	\$ 69	\$ 925,282	\$ 69	\$ 925,282	\$ 69
FY53	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

With a 30-year bond, Burlington residents can expect this 21st Century Learning Facility to impact their taxes from 2021-2052. At its peak, the impact on the new building will be between \$259 and \$296, or at its most, **\$0.81 a day**.

After 2052, the district's BHS bonded debt will be completely repaid.

To learn more, visit [www.bsdt.org/bhs-renovations](http://www.bsdt.org/bhs-renovations)





# BURLINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT

## ReEnvisioning BHS—Enhancement Scenarios

**In April 2018, the Burlington School Board accepted the recommendation of the BHS ReEnvisioning Committee to partially demolish portions of campus, while expanding and renovating the main buildings.**

### WHY DO WE NEED A NEW HIGH SCHOOL?

Current facilities were built nearly 60 years ago and present several challenges:

- \$30+ million already needed for deferred maintenance
- Aging and outdated learning spaces
- Does not meet current accessibility standards

### PROPOSED ENHANCEMENTS

- Fully accessible
- Enhanced safety and security
- 21st Century Learning Facilities

### PROPOSED ENHANCEMENTS—THREE OPTIONS

	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
	\$60M limit	Standard Project	Standard Plus Project
<b>Total Project Cost</b>	<b>\$59,986,316</b>	<b>\$64,987,219</b>	<b>\$68,533,896</b>
<b>Differences</b>			
BTC "F" Building renovations	minimal - limited to (\$25 sf) envelope bathrooms security	minimal - limited to (\$25 sf) envelope bathrooms security	full - similar to A and B Buildings (\$151 sf)
CHPS	Not included	85 points "Verified" (min. req'd)	100+ points higher performance
Finishes	Least expensive available	Greater durability and maintenance	Greater durability and maintenance
Bathroom walls	Painted drywall	Partial ceramic tile	Complete ceramic tile
CR flooring	VCT	100% vinyl/rubber tile	100% vinyl/rubber tile
Exterior cladding	Metal or equal	Standard masonry	Standard masonry
Heating system	Ducted VAV - limited zones	Ducted VAV	Ducted VAV
AC	Limited offices	Administrative areas	Administrative areas, gym
Controls	Basic DDC	Typical DDC	Typical DDC
Existing roofing	Recoat existing	New membrane	New membrane
Roof insulation	Existing to remain	New, more insulation	New, more insulation
Gym bleachers	Keep existing	Replace existing	Replace existing
Gym floor	New urethane	Sand and refinish	Sand and refinish
Auditorium renovations	No work	No work	No work
Furniture	Minimum for new spaces only	Some replacement of existing	More replacement of existing
Landscaping	Minimum required by zoning	As required to meet CHPS	As required to meet enhanced CHPS
Outdoor spaces/hardscape	Lawn only - retaining walls as req'd	Outdoor CR, tiered space at entry	Outdoor CR, tiered space at entry
Building Demolition	Minimum	Minimum	Some salvaging/separation

Option 1: Option includes using least expensive building materials available, minimal work to heating and insulation systems, and limited amount of renovations at BTC. Modeled on CHPS but does not include certification. Fit-up budget only for new spaces.

Option 2: Option includes using more durable, longer-lasting materials. Renovations to BTC are still limited. Project meets basic CHPS requirements for certification and allows for better heating and insulation.

Option 3: Option includes using highest quality materials with a complete renovations to BTC building. Also allows for some salvaging of demolished buildings, significant heating and cooling upgrades, and results in a higher CHPS certification.

See reverse for the projected tax impact of each scenario.



Cultivating caring, creative, and courageous people. Join the journey!